

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Auksė Razanovaitė
aukse.razanovaite@flf.vu.lt
Salos, 2012

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th –18th centuries)

Full form

duok man

‘give me’

Critic form

duok mi / duokim

‘give mi’

duodu tau

‘I give you’

duodu ti / duoduot

‘I give you’

The process of critic forms eliminating by generalization of the orthotonic variants is common for Old Lithuanian and East Slavonic (Holvoet 2011, 23).

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Personal pronominal clitics in Slavic languages

Wackernagel's Law

Strong and weak clitics

Sentencial clitics – local clitics

Changes of use of personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th –18th centuries)

- Proto-Indo-European was a second position language and clitics tended to appear after the first stressed word in a sentence (Wackernagel 1892).
- 2P:
 - position after the first constituent (Hale 2007, 195);
 - the second position after the host (Petit 2008, 303).

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Slavic languages exhibit the tension between two tendencies:

- to observe Wackernagel's Law and hold the second position in a sentence / clause;
- to follow the word to which they relate most closely.
Personal pronominal clitics, if they are not in the second position, occur almost always after the verb (Gribble 1988, 194–195; Migdalski 2006).

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Strong clitics (particles)

Weak clitics (pronominal clitics)

Old Russian:

sentential → local clitics (Zaliznjak 2008)

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Old Lithuanian.

Personal pronominal clitics are considered to be enclitics (Zinkevičius 1981, 49). They obey the Wackernagel's Law and hold the second position in a sentence.

Wifur =*mi* *wilkay* *apſpitá* KN 17,2
Everywhere =1SG.ACC.CL wolves surrounded
'Wolves surrounded me everywhere'

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

16th–18th c.

80 % – V=CL

Atstok, grischk, ritoij dūsiū=t Br ST, Sal 3,28
Go away, come back, tomorrow give-FUT1SG=2SG.DAT.CL.
'Go away, come back, I will give you tomorrow'

S u -ʃ p a u d - e = m ne meylingay KN 44,3
PRF-press-PST3=1SG.ACC.CL not fondly
'Pressed me not fondly'

The first Lithuanian Grammar (Klein 1653):
pronominal clitics are used adjacent to the verb.

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries), I

80 % of pronominal clitics are adjacent to the verb, sometimes even if the verb is not the first word of the sentence.

Old Lithuanian, like Old Russian exhibit the same tendency:

- to observe Wackernagel's Law and hold the second position in a sentence / clause;
- to follow the word to which they relate most closely, in our case – a verb;
- sentential clitics → local clitics.

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

II. Changes in the history of use of personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th-18th centuries). Three stages.

1. Until the middle of 17th century **V=CL**

Iſch-gielbeke=m *nog* *piktodeiu* BPs 59,3

PRF-save.IMP.2SG=1SG.ACC.CL from the bad ones

‘Save me from the bad ones’

bát dawe=t [...] MPs 8b,18

but gavePST3=2SG.DAT.CL

‘but gave you’

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Iſchgielbekem

may also be interpreted as imperative 1pl ‘let’s save’

dawet

is homonymous with the indicative 2pl ‘you gave’

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Homonymy of the forms was one of the reasons to change the position of the pronominal clitic.

This tendency is observed in the Rézas' psalter of the 17th century, where forms of pronominal clitics were changed (Razanovaitė 2011, 185-195): **pref-root-cl → pref-cl-root**

At-gaiwin-ke=m *tawa teifibe* BPs 119,40 (16th)
PRF-revitalize-IMP.2SG=1SG.ACC.CL your justice

→

At=mi=gaiwin-k *tawo Teisybé* RPs 119,40 (17th)
PRF=1SG.ACC.CL=revitalize-IMP.2SG your justice

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

2. From the **middle of the 17th** century pronominal clitics are distinguished by orthographic means:

- the pronoun is not integrated to its host (doesn't form one word):

At-než-kit =*mi* [...] *patele* ChST, 1 Sam 536,14
PREF-bring-IMP.2PL =1SG.DAT.CL female
'bring me his female'

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

- the pronoun is distinguished using a dash:

*fmertis fu-**mi**-gaus*

death PRF=1SG.ACC.CL=catch.FUT.3SG

‘death will catch me’

K1NG 409,6

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

3. In the 18th century some forms, showing the disintegrating system, were recorded. Personal pronominal clitics show up in the proclitic position:

Mi=imk *pats* Katekizmas 1701, 135,11

1SG.ACC.CL=takeIMP.2SG yourself

‘take me yourself’

ir iβ naujo mi-dowan᷑k Katekizmas 1701, 135,11

and newly (=?)1SG.DAT.CL-present-IMP.2SG

‘and re-present me’

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

To sum up, the analysed Lithuanian texts of the 16th–18th centuries show noticeable changes with respect to their position and host.

- Some cases of WL position are also attested, but also there are pronominal clitics, which are adjacent to the verb, even if the verb is not the first word of the sentence; sentential clitics → local clitics.
- Until the middle of the 17th century the most frequent position is V-cl.
- The pronominal clitics are distinguished by orthographic means.
- In the 18th century pronominal clitics show up in the proclitic position, what demonstrates the disintegrating system.

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Sources and references

BPs – *PSALTERAS ING LIETVWISCHKA LIESZVWI* *pergulditas [...] 1580*, hrsg.

von Jochen Dieter Range, Friedrich Scholz, Biblia Slavica. Serie VI:

Supplementum: Biblia Lithuania, Band 1.6, Paderborn, München, Wien, Zürich: Ferdinand Schöningh, 1991.

ChST 1660 – [Samuelis Boguslavas Chylinskis,] BIBLIA tatey ira Rasztas Szwætas seno ir naujo TESTAMENTA.

Enchiridion 1579 – Litauische und Lettische Drucke des 16. Jahrhunderts, herausgegeben von Adalbert Bezzenberger, Göttingen, Rober Peppmüller, 1882 (<http://www.epaveldas.lt/vbspi/biRecord.do?biRecordId=34706>).

Katekizmas 1701 – Kategizavimas Arba Waiku mokslas [...] Bernard von Sanden / Karalauczuje Ißspaustas, 1701.

Kleinas 1653 – Danielius Kleinas, Grammatica Litvanica, elektroninis tekstas 2011 (VUB, Lr 484), parengė: M. Šinkūnas, V. Zinkevičius; rėmė Lietuvos mokslo taryba. <http://www.lki.lt/seniejirastai/db.php?source=42>

KlNG – Danielius Kleinas, Naujos giesmių knygos, Naujos maldų knygelės, el. tekstas 2006 (Torunės universiteto biblioteka, Pol.7.II.4334–4335), parengė V. Rimša, M. Šinkūnas, V. Zinkevičius; rec.: O. Aleknavičienė, R. Eckert.

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

KN – S. J. Telega, S. Minvydas, J. Božymovskis, Knyga nobažnystės, el. tekstas 2007–2008 (Uppsalos universiteto biblioteka, Obr. 66. 176); rengė M. Šinkūnas, V. Zinkevičius; rec.: J. Gelumbeckaitė, B. Maskuliūnas; ISBN: 978-9955-704-89-8.

MPs – Postilla lietvviszka,[...] Wilnivy, 1600
(<http://www.epaveldas.lt/vbspi/biRecord.do?biRecordId=12104>).

RPs – Jonas Rēza, Psalteras Dovydo, 1625, el. tekstas 2007 (Prūsijos kultūros paveldo Slaptasis valstybinis archyvas Berlyne, XX St UB Königsb. Nr. 52), rengė: dr. R. Fecht, M. Šinkūnas, V. Zinkevičius; rec.: dr. L. Citavičiūtė, J. Gelumbeckaitė; ISBN: 978-9955-704-85-0. <http://www.lki.lt/seniejirastai/db.php?source=5>

SG – GIESMES TIKIEIMVY KATHOLICKAM PRIDIARANCIAS, Typis Academicis Societatis IESV, Anno Dni 1646.

1701 Katekizmas 1701 – Ktegizavimas Arba Waiku mokslas Kreme Kategismas Lutheraus [...] Bernard von Sanden / Karalaucžuſe Ißſpauſtas

Personal pronominal clitics in Old Lithuanian (16th–18th centuries)

Gribble Charles 1988, On Clitics in Old Bulgarian and Old Russian. In *American Contributions to the 10th International Congress of Slavists*, ed. A. Schenker. Columbus: Slavica.

Hale, Mark 2007, Historical Linguistics: A Theoretical Introduction, The diachrony of Clitics: Phonology and Syntax.

Holvoet Axel 2011, The Baltic languages, in Bernd Kortmann and Johan van der Auwera (eds.) *The languages and linguistics of Europe, A comprehensive guide*, Berlin, Boston: Walter de Gruyter GmbH&Co. KG.

Nevis A. J., Joseph B. D. 1993, Wackernagel affixes: evidence from Balto-Slavic, *Yearbook of Morphology*, ed. Beert Booij, Jaap Van Marle, Dordrecht, Boston, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Petit Daniel 2008, Recenzija: Vytautas Ambrazas, Lietuvių kalbos istorinė sintaksė, Vilnius: Lietuvių kalbos institutas, 2006, 612 p., *Baltistica XLIII* (2), p. 303–304.

Razanovaitė Auksė, Klitinių įvardžių formos Mažosios Lietuvos XVI–XVIII a. Psalmynuose, in Jowita Niewulis-Grablunas, Justyna Prusinowska, Ewa Styczyńska-Hodyl (eds.), *Perspectives of Baltic Philology 2*, Poznan: Wydawnictwo "RYS", 2011, 185–195.

Wackernagel, Jacob 1892. ‘Über ein Gesetz der indogermanischen Wortstellung’, *Indogermanische Forschungen* 1, 333–436.

Zinkevičius Z. 1981, Lietuvių kalbos istorinė gramatika, II, Vilnius: Mokslas.